

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,011		09/15/2003	Reza Stegamat	E3311.0002 1732	
32172	7590	. 08/31/2006	•	EXAM	MINER
DICKSTEI	N SHAP	IRO MORIN & OS	LIN, JAMES		
1177 AVEN	UE OF T	HE AMERICAS (6T	H AVENUE)		
41 ST FL.		•	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	C NY 16	0036-2714		1762	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>▶</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,011	STEGAMAT, REZA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy Lin	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO litute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicated ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status		,	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) Dobjected to	b by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		*	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	!
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	مراد المراد ا	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5) Notice of 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/663,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

Election/Restrictions

1. The Examiner notes that claims 13-22 are drawn to an apparatus. However, the claim language suggests that a device is being claimed and not an apparatus. The claims will be classified accordingly.

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 66.
- II. Claims 13-22, drawn to a device, classified in class 313, subclass 483.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case Invention II can be made by exposing the active area after encapsulating.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. If Group I is elected, the following election of species is required because the claims are directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1: wherein the first and second environment are different in composition.

Species 2: wherein the first and second environment are identical in composition.

The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-2 and 5-12 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. A telephone call was made to Laura Brutman on 8/17/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-8902. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 8 - 5:30 and Friday 8 - 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,011 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

<u>ار</u>

JL

BRET CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER